

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION**

MARK EDWARD HURST,

Plaintiff,

vs.

**Case No.: 2:11-cv-1090
JUDGE SMITH
Magistrate Judge King**

**OHIO DEPARTMENT OF REHABILITATION
AND CORRECTION, *et al.*,**

Defendants.

ORDER

On February 19, 2014, the United States Magistrate Judge issued a *Report and Recommendation* recommending that Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment be denied and that Plaintiff's Motion to Compel is denied. The parties were specifically advised of their right to object to the *Report and Recommendation* and of the consequences of their failure to do so. There has nevertheless been no objection to the *Report and Recommendation*.

The *Report and Recommendation* is hereby **ADOPTED** and **AFFIRMED**. Plaintiff's Motions (Docs. 78 and 84) are **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ George C. Smith
**GEORGE C. SMITH, JUDGE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**